iMedPub Journals http://journals.imedpub.com

DOI: 10.21767/2469-2980.100041

Journal of Orthodontics & Endodontics ISSN 2469-2980 2017

Vol. 3 No. 2:7

Root Canal Irrigants Suhrab Singh

Received: April 27, 2017; Accepted: April 29, 2017; Published: May 03, 2017

The main purpose of root canal therapy is to eliminate microorganisms, their toxins and debris by chemo-mechanical preparation. However, even after efficient cleaning and shaping, total disinfection of the complex root canal system remains unattainable. Studies have shown that the mechanical instrumentation of root canal walls leads to the formation of a thick smear layer which covers the dentinal walls that has both the inorganic and organic content.

McComb and Smith first identified the smear layer 30 years ago on the walls of instrumented root canals and reported it to be irregular, amorphous, and granular in shape under scanning electron microscope. It is composed of inorganic debris, dentin chips, and organic materials including remains of the pulp tissue, bacteria their by-products, blood cells and the odontoblastic processes all of which has been described in detail by multiple authors [1,2].

Furthermore, the smear layer is usually 1-2 µm thick, and may be packed into the dentinal tubules as far as 40 μ m which are known as smear plugs [3-5]. But there is still no agreement on the question of keeping it or removing it during canal treatment which is still a matter of debate. Some investigators argue that the presence of the smear layer increases the success rate of endodontic treatment by blocking the dentinal tubules and preventing bacterial exchange from the tubules into the canal space or vice versa by reducing dentine permeability [6,7].

In contrast to this, some investigators believe that the smear layer should be completely eliminated from the surface of the root canal walls because it can harbor bacteria. Brännström believes that the smear layer serves as a ground for feeding of micro-organisms and also helps them colonize [8] which can be

Department of Endodontics, Santosh Dental College and Hospital, Ghaziabad, India

Corresponding author: Suhrab Singh

suhrab.singh@gmail.com

Department of Endodontics, Santosh Dental College and Hospital, Ghaziabad, India.

Tel: +91-9755-712-732

Citation: Singh S. Root Canal Irrigants. J Orthod Endod. 2017, 3:2.

detrimental to efficient disinfection and sterilization of dentinal tubules by preventing and blocking sodium hypochlorite, calcium hydroxide, and other intracanal medicaments and irrigants from penetrating and reaching into the dentinal tubules. It also acts as a barrier between the obturating materials and the canal wall and therefore interferes with the formation of an appropriate seal [9-12].

It is a known fact that one of the most important factors which strongly affect the outcome of a root canal treatment is the canal seal gained by appropriate obturation [13]. Investigators showed root canal sealers to have a better adhesion to the root canal walls after the smear layer was removed [12,14,15]. Although a great deal of effort has been made to understand the effect of the smear layer on the apical or coronal seal, the controversy of keeping or removing it still exists.

References

- 1 Peters OA, Barbakow F (2000) Effects of irrigation on debris and smear layer on canal walls prepared by two rotary techniques: a scanning electron microscopic study. J Endod 26: 6-10.
- 2 McComb D, Smith DC (1975) A preliminary scanning electron microscopic study of root canals after endodontic procedures. J Endod 1: 238-242.
- 3 O'Connell MS, Morgan LA, Beeler WJ, Baumgartner JC (2000) A comparative study of smear layer removal using different salts of EDTA. J Endod 26: 739-743.
- 4 Mader CL, Baumgartner JC, Peters DD (1984) Scanning electron microscopic investigation of the smeared layer on root canal walls. J Endod 10: 477-483.
- 5 Pashley D (1990) Clinical considerations of microleakage. J Endod 16: 70-77.
- 6 Michelich VJ, Schuster GS, Pashley DH (1980) Bacterial penetration of human dentin *in vitro*. J Dent Res 59: 1398-1403.
- 7 Safavi KE, Spangberg LS, Langeland K (1990) Root canal dentinal tubule disinfection. J Endod 16: 207-210.

- 8 Brännström M (1984) Smear layer: pathological and treatment considerations. Operative Dentistry 3: 35-42.
- 9 Yang SE, Bae KS (2002) Scanning electron microscopy study of the adhesion of *Prevotella nigrescens* to the dentin of prepared root canals. J Endod 28: 433-437.
- 10 Czonstkowsky M, Wilson EG, Holstein FA (1990) The smear layer in endodontics. Dent Clin North Am 34: 13-25.
- 11 Cergneux M, Ciucchi B, Dietschi JM, Holz J (1987) The influence of the smear layer on the sealing ability of canal obturation. Int Endod J 20: 228-232.
- 12 White RR, Goldman M, Lin PS (1984) The influence of the smeared layer upon dentinal tubule penetration by plastic filling materials. J Endod 10: 558-562.
- 13 Cohen S, Hargreaves KM (2006) Pathways of the pulp. Elsevier International, PA, USA.
- 14 Tidmarsh BG (1998) Acid-cleansed and resin-sealed root canals. J Endod 4: 117-121.
- **15** Abramovich A, Goldberg F (1976) The relationship of the root canal sealer to the dentine wall. An *in vitro* study using the scanning electron microscope. J Br Endod Soc 9: 81-86.